UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/673,122	09/26/2003	Yuichi Ikeda	17057	1307	
	7590 11/23/200 TT MURPHY & PRES		EXAMINER		
400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA			KASZTEJNA, MATTHEW JOHN		
SUITE 300 GARDEN CIT	Y. NY 11530		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3739		
	•				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/23/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	\mathcal{H}		
, v	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-
•	10/673,122	IKEDA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Matthew J. Kasztejna	3739	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION (136(a). In no event, however, may a red will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AB	CATION. apply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	•		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 s	Sentember 2007		
<u> </u>	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal matt	. •	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,8,9,15,16 and 18-24 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,8,9,15,16,18-20 and 22-24 is/are r 7) ☐ Claim(s) 21 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 26 September 2003 is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected.	/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ e drawing(s) be held in abeyar ction is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in A pority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application 	

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on September 21, 2007 has been entered.

Notice of Amendment

In response to the amendment filed on September 21, 2007, amended claims 1 and 18 are acknowledged. The following reiterated grounds of rejection are set forth:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 15, 16, 18-20 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 4,982,725 to Hibino et al.

In regards to claim 1, Hibino et al. disclose an electric bending endoscope comprising: a bending portion 508 arranged to an inserting portion 504; a first unit 663 which has a frame unit and which holds a motor 686 that generates driving force for bending the bending portion, the frame unit being connected to a cord for supplying a

10/673,122

Art Unit: 3739

signal for driving the motor from a bending control device (see Col. 46, Lines 65-68); and a buffering member to connect a main frame 503 to which is connected the inserting portion and the frame unit, the buffering member has a first end connected to the frame unit and a second end connected to the main frame, so that a force applied to the inserting portion by an external operation may be absorbed between the first and the second end (see Fig. 18 and Col. 46, Line 40 - Col. 47, Line 67). Switches 693 and 694 are provided within the housing of the frame unit 663. Thus a cord is inherently provided for supplying a signal from bending control switches 693 and 694 in frame unit 663 to the motors 686, 685 as is necessary in the newly amended claim.

In regards to claim 15, Hibino et al. disclose an electric bending endoscope, wherein the operating portion is provided with a switch 505 for operating the electric bending endoscope (see Fig. 18).

In regards to claims 16 and 19, Hibino et al. disclose an electric bending endoscope wherein the unit comprises an inner flame for holding the motor and an outer frame for holding the inner frame (see Fig. 18).

In regards to claims 18 and 20, Hibino et al. disclose an electric bending endoscope comprising: a bending portion 508 arranged to an inserting portion 504; a first unit 663 which has a frame unit which holds a motor 686 that generates driving force for bending the bending portion the frame unit being connected to a cord for supplying a signal for driving the motor from a bending control device; a second unit 503 which is separable from the first unit and which has a transmitting member 510 for transmitting the driving force of the motor to the bending portion, the second unit having

10/673,122

Art Unit: 3739

a main frame to which is connected the inserting portion; and a buffering member to connect the main frame and the frame unit, the buffering member has a first end connected to the frame unit and a second end connected to the main frame, so that a force applied to the inserting portion by an external operation may be absorbed between the first and the second end (see Fig. 18 and Col. 46, Line 40 - Col. 47, Line 67). As previously stated, switches 693 and 694 are provided within the housing of the frame unit 663. Thus a cord is inherently provided for supplying a signal from bending control switches 693 and 694 in frame unit 663 to the motors 686, 685 as is necessary in the newly amended claim.

In regard to claim 22, Hibino et al. disclose an electric bending endoscope, further comprising an operating portion connected to the first unit for operating the electric bending endoscope, wherein a wheel is arranged to a driving shaft of a driving force transmitting member of the second unit, and a rotating shaft of the wheel is arranged in front of the operating portion on a side cross-section of the operating portion in the electric bending endoscope, with respect to the central axis of the inserting portion (see Figs 1 and 34).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

10/673,122 Art Unit: 3739

Claims 8-9 and 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S Patent No. 4,982,725 to Hibino et al. in view of U.S Patent No. 5,609,563 to Suzuki et al.

In regard to claims 8-9 and 23-24, Hibino et al. disclose an electric bending endoscope having an operation lever 16 arranged to the operating portion for operating the bending driving unit, but are silent with respect to an angle formed between the center axis of the inserting portion in the electric bending endoscope and the center axis of the operation lever at the neutral position thereof, and the angle is (120-150 degrees), an inclined angle of the operation lever is +/- 30 degrees from the center of the operation lever, and the inclined center position of the operation lever is arranged in front of the operating portion, with respect to the center position of the inserting portion in the electric bending endoscope. Suzuki et al teach of an analogous electric bending endoscope wherein the operating portion of the endoscope is formed between the center axis of the inserting portion and the center axis of the operation lever as seen in Figs. 1 and 4. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the operating portion of the apparatus of Hibino et al. at an angle in order to provide a more comfortable fitting during use for the operator of the instrument as taught by Suzuki et al.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 21 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

10/673,122 Art Unit: 3739

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed September 21, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant states that Hibino et al. fails to suggest that the first unit and second unit are separate. Firstly, the first and second units are not claimed as separate parts, but merely that the second unit is *separable* from the first unit. Secondly, Hibino et al. clearly states that the second unit 503 of the endoscope 501 is removably connected to the first unit 663 (see Col. 46, Lines 48-52). Thus, Hibino et al. teaches of a second unit separable from the first unit, as claimed in the instant invention. Furthermore, the motor and force-transmitting members are not held in the same part as stated by the applicant. As see in Figure 18, the removable connection between the video processor (i.e. the first unit 663 which has a frame unit which holds a motor 686) and the connector (i.e. the second unit 503 having a main frame and a transmitting member 510) meet the limitations of the claims in their broadest interpretation.

Applicant also states that Hibino et al. fails to suggest a buffering member that absorbs external force applied to the inserting portion. However, as broadly as claimed, the connecting portion which removably connects the first unit 663 to the second unit 503 (see Col. 46, Lines 48-52) acts as buffering member, in a similar fashion to the instant invention wherein the connecting member 16 acts as a buffering member as clarified in the applicants arguments filed June 16, 2006 (page 7, line 11).

10/673,122 Art Unit: 3739

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew J. Kasztejna whose telephone number is (571) 272-6086. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C.M. Dvorak can be reached on (571) 272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MJK M

11/19/07

LINDA C. M. DVORAK SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER GROUP 3700

Page 7